



general or conclusory objections that do not direct the court to a specific error in the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations." Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Moreover, the statute does not on its face require any review at all of issues that are not the subject of an objection. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); Camby, 718 F.2d at 200. Nonetheless, a district judge is responsible for the final determination and outcome of the case, and accordingly the Court has conducted a careful review of the Magistrate Judge's M&R.

No objections to the M&R have been filed in this case. The Court thus adopts the facts and procedural history as set forth in the M&R. Accordingly, upon review of the entire record, the Court finds no clear error in the Magistrate Judge's recommendation to dismiss this case for failure to prosecute and adopts the analysis as stated in the M&R for all purposes.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Clerk is directed to **CLOSE** this case.

Signed: January 25, 2011

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Robert J. Conrad, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.  
Chief United States District Judge

